

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/615,081	09/615,081 07/12/2000		Yutaka Suenaga	XA-9335	2763
181	7590	04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
MILES &		BRIDGE PC	ROBINSON, MARK A		
SUITE 500		av.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN	, VA 221	02-3833	2872		
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/05/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.			H·A			
		Application No.	Арри	cant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		09/615,081	SUEN	AGA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art U	nit				
		Mark A. Robinson	2872					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover s	heet with the correspo	ondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the provision of th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minim od will apply and will expire SI) tute, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be c (6) MONTHS from the mailin ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S	onsidered timely. g date of this communicatio S.C. § 133).	· vn.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 2004.						
		his action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 18-40,42,44-49,51-93 and 95-99 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) 18-40,42,44-49 and 51-84 is/are all Claim(s) 85-93 and 95-99 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from considerat lowed.	on.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in ection is required if the	abeyance. See 37 CF drawing(s) is objected t	R 1.85(a). o. See 37 CFR 1.121(	<b>d)</b> .			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a least open content.	ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receiveriority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No. e been received in th )).					
2)  Notice  No	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date	D8) 5) D N	terview Summary (PTO-4 aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Ap ther:	<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 09/615,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 85-93 and 95-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williamson (US 5815310) in view of Takahashi (US 5835284).

Williamson shows in fig. 3 a reduction projection catadioptric optical system for projection exposure including a lens(R1-R3) and mirror(M1"-M6"), is devoid of folding mirrors, and is constructed so as to provide an exit pupil having no obscuration. Williamson does not explicitly teach an aperture diaphragm on an image side of a most image-wise curved mirror. However, such is shown by Takahashi in fig. 1 (item S). It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include Takahashi's aperture stop in

Art Unit: 2872

Williamson's device in order to limit the amount of light being imaged (e.g. to reduce aberrations, etc.).

Regarding claims 86-88,90,93,95 and 96, Williamson further teaches a NA of 0.6 or more (col. 6 line 20), a straight axis of symmetry for all curvatures (OA), an off-axis intermediate image(24") with two mirrors(M1"-M4") upstream of this image, and circular exit pupil (note the title and abstract).

Regarding claims 91,92, Williamson's device is used for producing an image onto a photosensitive substrate.

Regarding claims 89 and 97, Takahashi shows lens R1 next to an object plane and teaches telecentricity for both object and image sides, e.g. in the Summary and/or in col. 1-4). However, inasmuch as object-side telecentricity is not specifically taught with respect to fig. 3, it would have been obvious to make the device with object-side telecentricity in order to reduce the amount of aberrations.

Regarding claims 98 and 99, Williamson teaches an off-axis image (intermediate image 24") to have an annular shape as noted above, but does not teach the exposure area to be a slit-like shape. However, Williamson teaches that a part of the ring-field may be used for scanning. Further, slit-like shapes are commonly used for image scanning in rejection projection optical systems. It would have been obvious to use a slit-like exposure

Application/Control Number: 09/615,081 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

area in Williamson's device in order to enable scanning of the mask image onto the photosensitive substrate.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 18-40, 42, 44-49, 51-84 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 85 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirose, cited previously, shows a catadioptric reduction projection system with a straight axis of symmetry for the elements and an unobscured exit pupil.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/615,081

Art Unit: 2872

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/615,081 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

4/4/05

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER